

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,135	10/30/2003	Peter G. Klimko	2439 US	9753
Alcon Researc	7590 03/27/200 th. L.td.	EXAMINER		
Teresa J. Schultz			FAY, ZOHREH A	
Q-148 6201 South Fr	eewav	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Worth, T.		1612		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2008	PAPER

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/697,135	KLIMKO ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
ZOHREH A. FAY	1612				

	CXailillei	AILOIIIL					
	ZOHREH A. FAY	1612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or ordanded period for reply will by stating, cases the application to become ARMONED (38 U.S.C, § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned paint term distinctions. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ja	nuary 2008.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are rejected.	6)  Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are rejected.						
<li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li>							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	ı-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d					
222 2 2.5tallod Olilod delloli 101 d llol							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	en an Physical					

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20080324

Application/Control Number: 10/697,135

Art Unit: 1612

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 15.2008 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 10/697,135

Art Unit: 1612

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bressi et al. (U.S. Patent 7,154,002).

Bressi et al. teach the use of the claim-designated compound as a well-known HDAC inhibitor. See columns 3 and 4, second compound. The above reference also teaches the use of HDAC inhibitors fro the treatment of conditions of abnormal angiogenesis, such as macular degeneration and diabetic retinopathy. See column 33, lines 45-55. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art to use the claimed compound for the treatment of macular degeneration and diabetic retinopathy, motivated by the teachings of Bressi et al. reference, which teaches HDAC inhibitors have been previously used for the treatment of macular degeneration and diabetic retinopathy.

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of the above reference, since it relates to the use of the claimed compound as an HDAC inhibitor, and also to the use of HDAC inhibitors for the treatment of conditions of abnormal angiogenesis, such as macular degeneration and diabetic retinopathy. The substitution of one HDAC inhibitor for another would have been obvious to a person skilled in the rat in the absence of evidence to the contrary. Applicant has presented no

Application/Control Number: 10/697,135

Art Unit: 1612

evidence to establish the unexpected or unobvious nature of the claimed invention, and as such, claims 1-5 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a).

Applicant's arguments and remarks have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant in his remarks argues that one skilled in the art would not have been motivated to arrive at the present invention based on Bressi et al.. because Bressi et al. did not disclose the agents enzymes and protein interaction selectively, and because there was a lack of knowledge with respect to whether a specific HDAC enzyme inhibition pattern was required for the alleged ocular antiangiogenic effects. The arguments are not well taken. Bressi et al. with the filing date prior to applicant's filing date, teach that the claim designated compound is an HDAC inhibitor. Such reference also teaches that the HDAC inhibitors can be used for the treatment of abnormal angiogenesis disorders, such as macular degeneration. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art to use the claimed compound for the treatment of macular degeneration. The invention is obvious when there is a teaching, suggestion, or motivation to combine prior art teachings. The teachings, suggestions or motivation may be found in the prior art, in the nature of the problem or in the knowledge of a person having ordinary skill in the art. See KSR, 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ZOHREH A. FAY whose telephone number is (571)272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,135 Page 5

Art Unit: 1612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fredrick Krass can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Z.F /Zohreh A Fay/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612